

## PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2004) (Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making

<b>Agency:</b> Department of Labor and Industries		
<ul> <li>✓ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 06-16-098</li> <li>☐ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR</li> <li>☐ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4).</li> </ul>		
Title of rule and other identifying information: Chapter 296-17 WAC General Reporting Rules, Classifications, Audit and Recordkeeping, Rates and Rating System for Workers Compensation Insurance. WAC 296-17-580, WAC 296-17-58105, WAC 296-17-66901, WAC 296-17-67603, and WAC 296-17-67604		
Hearing location(s):	Submit written comments to:	
Department of Labor and Industries, Room S119 7273 Linderson Way SW Tumwater, WA 98501	Name: Ronald Moore, Acting Program Mgr., Employer Services Address: P O Box 44140 Olympia, WA 98504-4140 e-mail MOOA235@Ini.wa.gov fax (360) 902-4729 by (date) 5 p.m., Nov 6, 2006	
Date: <u>November 6, 2006</u> Time: 1:00 p.m.	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact	
Date of intended adoption: November 21, 2006 (Note: This is <b>NOT</b> the <b>effective</b> date)	Office of Information and Assistance by October 31, 2006 TTY (360) 902-5797	
In April 2006, the department filed a proposal to consolidate five metal goods classifications into one classification with three sub codes. Four existing metal goods classifications would have been repealed.  Following hearings in May, two firms petitioned the department to withdraw the proposal because of the economic effect on their classification. The department agreed to reconsider the proposal.  Since the department had adopted the proposal to take effect January 1, 2007, this rule making is necessary to restore the classifications as they existed January 1, 2006.  Reasons supporting proposal:  The department agreed to reconsider and restore the five metal goods classifications as they existed during 2006 based on a petition by firms affected by the proposed changes.		
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 51.16.035 and RCW 51.16.100	Statute being implemented: RCW 51.16.035 and RCW 51.16.100	
Is rule necessary because of a:  Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION:  Yes No Yes No Yes No	CODE REVISER USE ONLY WSR# 06-19-076	
DATE September 19, 2006		
NAME (type or print) Gary K. Weeks		
SIGNATURE		
TITLE Director		

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:			
matter 5.			
Name of proponent: Department of Labor a	and Industries	☐ Private	
		☐ Public ☐ Governmental	
Name of agency personnel responsible for:		Z Covernmental	
Name	Office Location	Phone	
Drafting Bill Moomau	Tumwater, Washington	(360) 902-4774	
Implementation Ronald Moore	Tumwater, Washington	(360) 902-4748	
Enforcement Robert Malooly	Tumwater, Washington	(360) 902-4209	
Has a small business economic impact state	ment been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW?		
Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement.			
A copy of the statement may be obtained by contacting:			
Name:			
Address:			
phone ( )			
fax ( ) e-mail			
No. Explain why no statement was prepared.			
In this rulemaking, the agency is exempt from preparing an SBEIS since the proposed rules set or adjust fees or rates pursuant to legislative standards. This exemption is described in RCW 34.05.410(4)(f).			
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RC	W 34.05.328?		
_			
Yes A preliminary cost-benefit analysis Name:	s may be obtained by contacting:		
Address:			
phone ( )			
phone( ) fax ( ) e-mail			
<u>_</u>			
No: Please explain: In this rulemaking, the agency is exempt from conducting a CBA since the proposed rules set or adjust fees or rates pursuant to legislative standards described in RCW 34.05.328(5)(b)(vi).			